28

1	I	
1	KAREN H. ROSS, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 9299	
2	The Law Office of Karen H. Ross 2275 Corporate Circle, Suite 160	
3	Henderson, NV 89074 Tel (702) 485-4152	
4	Fax (702) 485-4125 karenross@khrlawgroup.com	
5	Attorney for Defendants,	
6	Accident Injury Medical Center and Sebastian P. Balle, M.D.	
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
10	ALLSTATE INSURANCE COMPANY,	
11	ALLSTATE PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY, ALLSTATE	CIVIL CASE NO.: 2:10-CV-02205-KJD-GW
12	INDEMNITY COMPANY, and ALLSTATE	
13	FIRE & CASUALTY INSURANCE COMPANY,	STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE
14	Plaintiff,	ORDER REGARDING DEFENDANTS' CONFIDENTIAL FINANCIAL
	vs.	DOCUMENTS
15	PETER MARIO BALLE, D.C., SEBASTIAN P.	
16	BALLE, M.D., ARTHUR ROSSI, D.C., RICHARD CHARETTE, ELITER ATL, LLC.,	
17	ACCIDENT INJURY MEDICAL CENTER, INC, ACCIDENT TRIAL LAWYERS, LLC.,	
18	ACCIDENT TRIAL LAWYERS, INC., REAL TIME MARKETING, INC., ANDREW	
19	TAYLOR, RAMSEY AND ASSOCIATES, INC., and DENNIS RAMSEY,	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22	CTIDILE ATION AND PROTECTIVE O	DDED DECADDING DEEENDANTS
23	STIPULATION AND PROTECTIVE O CONFIDENTIAL FINA	
24	The parties to the above-entitled action, by and through their attorneys or record herein,	
25	hereby agree as follows:	The second control of
26		
27	1. That good cause exists for the parties to	enter into a Protective Order concerning th

Defendants confidential financial documents, which may be provided by the Defendants to

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

the Plaintiffs through discovery efforts in this matter.

- 2. A protective Order is necessary because Defendants are of the good faith belief that these financial documents are confidential (In accordance with FRCP 26 (c)(1)(a)), forbidding Plaintiffs from disclosing discovery.
- 3. As such, the parties hereby stipulate that the following measures be implemented in connection with any financial documents belonging to the Defendants, that might be produced by the Defendants to the Plaintiffs pursuant to legitimate discovery requests in this matter.
 - a. No party or his agent shall use any such documents for any purpose not related to the present litigation. In this regard, the parties agree not to disclose these documents to anyone other than their lawyers and their agents, experts, and the Court and/or the jury as the Court deems proper, and strictly for purposes related to the present litigation.
 - b. That whenever any such financial documents obtained from Plaintiffs are used in any pleading or exhibit filed with the Court, the party filing such information will do so in a pleading wherein such pleading has been requested to be filed under seal.
 - c. Upon the completion of this litigation, the parties agree that all such documents obtained from the Defendants will be returned to the Defendants.
 - d. Each page of the documents that are subject to this Protective Order are to be labeled as being "Subject to Federal Court Protective Order, Case No. 2:10-CV-02205-APG-NJK."
 - e. All Photocopies, transcripts, or other reproduction of the documents, or of any of the contents of those documents, are also subject to this Protective Order.
- 4. That without written permission from Defendants or a Court order secured after appropriate notice to all interested persons, a party may not file in the public record in Page 2 of 4

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

this action any protected material.

- 5. That the Parties further acknowledge that pursuant to <u>Kamakana v. City and Chamber of Honolulu</u>, 447 F.3d 1172, 1180 (9th Cir. 2006), any Party seeking to seal attachments to a motion for summary judgment or other dispositive motion shall be required to seek further leave of Court.
- 6. That when seeking leave to file documents under seal seeking protective status of the document(s), the Parties must establish "compelling reasons" to rebut the presumption of the public access and submit a separate memorandum of points and authorities which presents articulable facts identifying the interest favoring continuing the secrecy of the attachments, and show that these specific interests outweigh the public's interests in disclosure.

A disclosure by a party, or by that party's agent, of any document that is subject to this Protective Order in a matter that is inconsistent with the provisions of this Protective Order will subject that party to sanctions, which may include contempt, as this Court might deem proper.

DATED this day 1 of September, 2013.

By:

David Kassabian, Esq.

Brett Weatherford, Esq.

KASSABIAN, DOYLE & WEATHER

2261 Brookhollow Plaza Drive, Suite 300, LB1

Arlington, Texas 76006

817-461-8855

FAX: 817-274-9863

Attorneys for PLAINTIFFS

Eron Z. Cannon, Esq.

McCORMICK BARSTOW, LLP

8337 West Sunset Road, #350

Las Vegas, NV 89113

702-949-1100

FAX: 702-949-1101

Page 3 of 4

1	Attorney for PLAINTIFFS
2	V- D
3	By: Freen Arntz, Esq.
25.31	E. BREEN ARNTZ, CHTD.
4	1060 Wigwam Parkway Henderson, NV 89074
5	702-309-3333
6	FAX: 702-446-8164 Attorney for DEFENDANT
7	Peter Mario Balle, D.C.
8	V
9	By:
10	J. Mitchell Cobeaga, Esq. THE COBEAGA LAW FIRM
11	550 East Charleston Boulevard, #D Las Vegas, NV 89104
12	702-240-2499
	Attorney for DEFENDANT Arthur Rossi, D.C.
13	Arthur Rossi, B.C.
14	By: Kenedica Br
15	Karen H. Ross, Esq.
16	THE LAW OFFICE OF KAREN H. ROSS 2275 Corporate Circle, Suite 160
17	Henderson, NV 89074
18	702-485-4152 Attorney for DEFENDANTS
19	Sebastian P. Balle, M.D. and Accident Injury Medical Center
20	Dated: September 12, 2013
21	
22	IT IS SO ORDERED
23	
24	
25	U.S. Magistrate Judge
26	
27	
28	